1 PORTER | SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION John R. Whitefleet, SBN 213301 350 University Ave., Suite 200 3 Sacramento, California 95825 4 TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706 5 Attorney for Defendant COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 BRANDON GRAY, Case No. 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM 10 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR Plaintiff, 11 COUNTY OF STANISLAUS TO RESPOND TO 12 v. **COMPLAINT; ORDER** 13 CITY OF OAKDALE, a municipal corporation; City of Oakdale Police 14 Department Officers DOES 1-10, 15 individually; CITY OF MODESTO, a Complaint Filed: 07/12/2021 municipal corporation; City of Modesto 16 Police Department Officers DOES 11-20, individually; COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, 17 a public entity; Stanislaus County Sheriff's 18 Department Deputies DOES 21-30, individually; California Highway Patrol 19 Officers DOES 31-40, individually; and DOES 41-100, Jointly and Severally, 20 21 Defendants. 22 23 Plaintiff, BRANDON GRAY, by and through his counsel of record, hereby STIPULATES and 24 AGREES that Defendant COUNTY OF STANISLAUS may have an extension until December 6, 2021 25 to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint, in order to allow counsel for Defendant and Plaintiff 26 to further meet and confer regarding the Complaint, while plaintiff seeks further information regarding 27 whether the COUNTY and its to-be-identified DOE employees will remain in the case. In the 28 interest of conserving attorney time and resources, and furthering judicial economy, the {02513640.DOCX} 1

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR COUNTY OF STANILAUS TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ORDER

## Case 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM Document 10 Filed 10/01/21 Page 2 of 3

1 undersigned counsel seek to hold the case against the COUNTY and its employees in abeyance 2 for the time being, thereby obviating the need for the COUNTY to participate in the case, while 3 investigation and discovery is conducted to ascertain and confirm who the responsible parties and 4 municipalities are. 5 Based on the foregoing, and in order to facilitate the goal of this stipulation, the parties, 6 through counsel, hereby respectfully stipulate that the deadline for the COUNTY to respond to 7 the complaint be extended from October 4, 2021 to December 6, 2021. Pursuant to Eastern District 8 Local Rule 144(a) this stipulation requests court approval for an extension of time to respond the 9 complaint. Pursuant to Local Rule 144(b), this is the first such stipulation. No other extensions of time 10 have been requested. 11 12 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 13 Dated: October 1, 2021 PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 14 By /s/John R. Whitefleet 15 John R. Whitefleet 16 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF **STANISLAUS** 17 18 19 LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT Dated: October 1, 2021 -and-20 THE BOGAN LAW FIRM, APC 21 22 /s/ Sanjay S. Schmidt\* By: Sanjay S. Schmidt 23 Attorneys for Plaintiff,

\*Mr. Schmidt gave his consent to file this document via CM-ECF.

{02513640.DOCX}

24

25

26

27

28

**BRANDON GRAY** 

**ORDER** The Court, having reviewed the above stipulation and request, finds and orders as follows: The parties' joint request to continue the responsive pleading due date is 1. granted. COUNTY's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended to December 6, 2. 2021. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **October 1, 2021** {02513640.DOCX}